APPROVED BY BOARD 9/17/20

CALL TO ORDER

The Rectorcalled the meeting to order a02:p.m. and welcomedMurry Pitts to the BoardMr. Pitts is a 1980 graduate of Old Dominion and is currently CEO of Burlington Medical.

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO THE PRESIDENTIAL SEARCH COMMITTEE

The Rector called on M Bradley, Chair of the Presidential Search Committee, to present resolutions for the Board's consideration. Mr. Bradley noted that the Bylaws state the search committee is "to provide the requisite number of candidates, previously specified by thet Board, the Board for consideration." The question for the Board is how many candidates it wishes the committee to bring forward for consideration. He and the Rector have spoken with approximately 50-60 individuals to get their views on the qualifications and didates to be considered cliuding people from other schools who were involved in presidential searches. William & Mary's search committee brought three candidates to their Board for consideration, and he is recommending that ODU's search committee do the same. Based on the current timetable, that would be late the first quarter or early the second quarter.

Mr. Bradley made a motion that the Board charge the Search Committee to search for suitable candidates to assume the office of President of the University, and to present three finalists to the Board for its consideration. The motion was seconded by Mr. Mugler and approved to local local

Deb Love, Senior Assistant Attorn eneral who is serving as Counsel for the search committee, advised that while it is not expected that Board members who are not on the search committee attend meetings of the committee, the Board's Bylaws allow them to do so, but invatimentapacity. Mr. Bradley asked that those who want to attend meetings let Donna know ahead of time.

PRESENTATION ON PROPOSED CREATION OF A GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The Rector reminded the Board that a notice had been sent regarding a proposed amendment to the Bylaws to create a Board Governance Committee, a recommendation that was made as part of the Board Governance Audit. She invited Amanda Skaggs, Chief Audit Executive vtdep background information on this proposal.

Ms. Skaggs reported at a governance committee is generally created by provisions within the Board's Bylaws that set forth its functions and responsibilities and it's being proposed to be considered at the September board meeting. There should also be a written charge to guide the work of the Committee once it's established.

The Association of Governing Board's publication on Governance Committees lists three primary functions of the committee: (1) identication of governancebest practices relevant for the organization it overseeadopting those that promise to improve its effectiveness in exercising its oversight responsibilities with any changes in best practices that lead to a change in established policy or bylaws taken to the full Board for approval; (2) responsibility for board scale fragement that typically includes a program of orientation, mentorship, continuous board education, bylaw reviews and regular assessment of the board and its members; and (3) maintenance of a record of board members' expertise relative to the mission and strategic priorities of the ionstituorder to assist the rector with committee assignments to keep current what is submitted to the Governor's Office in terms of needed qualifications in board members. AGB states that the governance committee is arguably the most important committee that the Board can empower.

A governance committee should be established to most effectively accomplish the governance functions that are being proposed as bylaw revisions, development of sets from the process, regular board bylaw and policy rewie, annual planning retreat, and creating a set of qualifications and competencies for board members. The proposal is supported by the recent board governance audit opinion that several of these were not conducted as expected and are typically the responsibility of a governance committee

Establishment of this committee as a standing committee will provide the structure to support those needed processes. This is especially important as it relates to ODU's SACS accreditation. SACS conducts their reviews on a typear cycle and ODU's decennial is 2023. The stalfdy portion of this review is due about year prior. Board selfssessment is now explicitly required as part of the SACS standards. In order to sufficiently document boardsselfssment, two cycl of a self assessment are minimally expected ich needs to include a documented effective review, a board policy addressing the selfvaluation, documentation of appropriate approvals and outcome of the process, and a timeline for both future and past implementations of recommended changes.

Ms. Skaggs noted the other five public institutions that currently have a governance committee – Virginia Tech, VCU, Radford, Mary Washington and VMI. Collectively, their governance

where they believe there was a departure from the law or a departure in the discussion of matters other than that stated in the motion convening the closed session. I shall now take a vote of the Board. All those who agree that only lawfully exempted matters and specifically only the business matter stated in the motion convening the closed session were discussed session say "aye." All those who disagree say "nay." The certification was approved by abbly ote (Ayes: Allmond, Bradley, Broermann, Corn, Dabney, Dickseski, Hill, Jones, Kemper, Mugler, Pitts, Smith, Williams; Nays: None).

With no further business to be discussed, the meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m.